

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,937	07/03/2003	Geoffrey S.M. Hedrick	3190-54 6769	
7590 02/23/2006		EXAMINER		
Lance J. Lieberman, Esq.			PERVAN, MICHAEL	
Cohen, Pontani, Lieberman & Pavane Suite 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
551 Fifth Avenue			2677	
New York, NY 10176			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/613,937	HEDRICK, GEOFFREY S.M.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Michael Pervan	2677
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 03 July 2003 is/are: a) [Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2677

DETAILED ACTION

1. Patent 470,389 listed in the applicant's submitted IDS form has been considered. However, it appears there may have been a typing error since the author of the patent listed does not match the author listed on the form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harter (US 6,447,132) in view of Helms (US 5,760,760).

In regards to claim 1, Harter discloses a method of illuminating a display screen of a flat panel display so as to smoothly and dynamically vary a display screen illumination level between a predetermined maximum illumination level suitable for viewing of the display screen in ambient daylight conditions and a predetermined minimum illumination level suitable for viewing of the display screen in ambient night conditions, comprising the steps of:

Monitoring a level of ambient light incident on the display screen to determine a desired display screen illumination level within a range defined between the predetermined maximum and minimum illumination levels (col. 2 lines 43-46 also see Figure 5, ambient light sensor 17).

Art Unit: 2677

Harter discloses varying a one-hundred-percent duty cycle fluorescent electrical control signal (Figure 2, 18A) for operating a fluorescent lamp (col. 2, lines 6-8) disposed for illuminating the display screen between a first fluorescent control signal level for illuminating the display screen at the predetermined maximum illumination level and a second fluorescent control signal level for illuminating the display screen at a pre-determined transition illumination level less than the predetermined maximum illumination level but greater than the predetermined minimum illumination level and greater than a minimum fluorescent operating control signal level sufficient for maintaining continuous constant-brightness output from the fluorescent lamp at a one-hundred-percent duty cycle, so as to illuminate the display screen at the determined desired display screen illumination level when the ambient light is between said predetermined maximum illumination level and said predetermined transition illumination level (col. 4, lines 19-30 and 38-41, when ambient light conditions reach a predetermined low level corresponds to the predetermined minimum illumination level, when ambient light changes processor 13 controls the fluorescent lamp 21 to either dim or brighten responsive to the changing conditions, this corresponds to a varying one-hundred-percent duty cycle electrical signal, when both the high brightness light source 21 and low brightness light source 22A and 22B are active, bright light 21A is mixed with dim light 22C in panel 23, this corresponds to the transition illumination level).

Art Unit: 2677

Varying an LED electrical control signal (Figure 2, 18B) for operating at least one light emitting diode (col. 2, lines 19-21) disposed for illuminating the display screen between a first LED control signal level for illuminating the display screen at the predetermined transition illumination level and a second LED control signal level for illuminating the display screen at the predetermined minimum illumination level, so as to illuminate the display screen at the desired display screen illumination level when the ambient light condition is between said predetermined transition illumination level and said predetermined minimum illumination level (col. 4, lines 36-41 and 42-59, when both the high brightness light source 21 and low brightness light source 22A and 22B are active, bright light 21A is mixed with dim light 22C in panel 23, this corresponds to the transition illumination level).

Harter also discloses as the desired display screen illumination level decreases to said predetermined transition illumination level, discontinuing supply of the fluorescent control signal to the fluorescent lamp to discontinue illumination output from the fluorescent lamp (col.4, lines 28-30, when ambient light conditions reach a predetermined low level, the high brightness light source is turned off), supplying the LED control signal to the at least one light emitting diode, and varying the LED control signal in accordance with the monitored current display screen illumination level to illuminate the display screen at the determined desired display screen illumination level (col. 4, lines 31-33).

Art Unit: 2677

Harter teaches as the desired display screen illumination level increases to said predetermined transition illumination level, initiating supply of the fluorescent control signal to the fluorescent lamp to initiate illumination output from the fluorescent lamp, varying the LED control signal in accordance with the monitored ambient light conditions to assist the fluorescent tube in illuminating the display screen at the determined desired display screen illumination level as the fluorescent tube is initially powered, and discontinuing supply of the LED control signal to the at least one light emitting diode when the monitored ambient light condition indicates that the illumination output of the fluorescent tube is sufficient to illuminate the display screen to the determined desired display screen illumination level (col. 2, lines 53-64; since the brightness is responsive to changes in ambient light it is inherent that the method outlined in these lines is reversible).

Harter does not disclose monitoring the current display screen illumination level and providing said monitored level to a display screen illumination level controller that is operable for illuminating the display screen at said determined desired display screen illumination level.

Helms discloses monitoring the current display screen illumination level and providing said monitored level to a display screen illumination level controller that is operable for illuminating the display screen at said determined desired display screen illumination level (col. 2, lines 26-27).

Art Unit: 2677

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Harter to include the use of a photodetector on the display screen to detect display screen illumination levels. A person skilled in the art would have been motivated to do so because it allows for better adjustments, which means that the display screen will effectively use less power (col. 2, lines 39-42).

In regards to claim 2, it claims an apparatus with structural means that are paralleled in the method steps of claim 1 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons.

In regards to claim 3, it includes all of the limitations of claim 1, but also further limits the display controller, see claim 1 rejection.

Harter discloses in Figures 1 and 5 a display screen illumination level controller (Figure 1, 13) connected to the display illumination level sensor, to the fluorescent lamp and to the at least one light emitting diode and operable for controlling operation of the fluorescent lamp and the at least one light emitting diode to smoothly and dynamically vary the display screen illumination selectively between the predetermined maximum and minimum illumination levels so as to illuminate the display screen at a present desired display screen illumination level by:

further varying the LED electrical control signal (Figure 5, 18B) for predeterminately illuminating the display screen at and proximate the predetermined transition illumination level to

(i) decrease the LED electrical control signal in accordance with the monitored current display screen illumination level and the present desired

Art Unit: 2677

display screen illumination level to correct for fluorescent lamp persistence at fluorescent lamp shut-off (col. 2, lines 57-61; the light sources are mixed to correct for color shift therefore both lights light sources are on, until the ambient light drops low enough, then the high brightness light source is turned off and the brightness level is corrected for by using the low brightness light source),

to thereby maintain an uninterruptedly smooth variation in the display screen illumination level as the display screen illumination level is dynamically varied between the predetermined maximum display screen illumination level and the predetermined minimum display screen illumination level.

Harter does not disclose a display illumination level sensor for monitoring a current display screen illumination level. Helms discloses a display illumination level sensor for monitoring current display screen illumination level (col. 2, lines 26-27). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Harter to include a photodetector on the display screen to detect current display screen illumination levels. A person skilled in the art would have been motivated to do so because it allows for better adjustments, which means that the display screen will effectively use less power (col. 2, lines 39-42).

In regards to claim 4, it claims method steps paralleled to the structural means cited in claim 3 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons, see MPEP 2112.02 *In re King* ("When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the

Art Unit: 2677

specification for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the claimed process").

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed December 12, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant (on page 3 of argument) argued that Helms does not teach, disclose or suggest monitoring the current display screen illumination level because the second sensor (410) is also used to sense the ambient light. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

In the applicant's embodiment (Figure 1), the sensor for sensing the ambient light (38) is located away from the display while the other sensor (36) that senses the light of the LCD is located on the LCD itself. Comparing that with Helms' device, we can see that the sensor (14) is located on the LCD (13) in close proximity to the display screen (12) while the other sensor (410) is located on the back of the LCD. Sensor (410), on the back of the LCD, can only sense the ambient light since it is not facing the screen (12). However, sensor (14) can and will sense both the ambient light and light emitted from the display screen. Therefore, the examiner's position is that the embodiment of Helms that includes two sensors (14 and 410) is fairly equivalent to the claimed limitation by considering sensor (410) as an ambient sensor and sensor (14) as light sensor as well as ambient sensor just as the sensor (36) of the applicant's device would sense both ambient light and light from the LCD.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,937 Page 9

Art Unit: 2677

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art (Farrell, US 5,143,433) is deemed relevant since it applies the use of fluorescent lamps for high brightness light sources and LEDs for low brightness light sources.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pervan whose telephone number is (571) 272-0910. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday between 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,937 Page 10

Art Unit: 2677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MVP

Feb. 14, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINED